I. 7-161/92

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1891-92

~~192~~ .

(E. R.)

File No.

Serial Nos.

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 – 4

Major ~~Part B~~ for *September*

SUBJECT.

Renewal of Allowance to Value of Dedgrams when Value.

Index Sl. 4. The Deputy Commissioner No. 4314 Dated 19 R 7-161/92

Sl. 4. Forwards copy of the order passed in the above case directing the refund

WD 2233—GPB—20,000—14-6-20

Countersigned

This appeal is within time.
Petition sufficiently stamped.
Copy of the order appealed against
is produced.
Paid appeal under Sec. 1 Rule 1
Ord. & Rules.
Submitted to the Council.
6th Nov. 91. AS

Call for Proceedings
AS
14/11/91

(General Serial No. 32.)

189 .

No. 1420

Name of Petitioner. Thuruvadigoud

Village. Dhoddagrama

Taluk. Hassan

District. Hassan

Date of Appl. 14.9.91

Date of Receipt. 16.9.91

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures.

ABSTRACT.

Petitioner succee
to his father's patad
in 1889. On the 9 Mar.
1891 the Amildar, with
object of conferring
pataly on one Rhoda
reported against the
petitioner to the Dep
Commissioner. In h
report the said Dha
Gowda was represente
be the petitioners bro
though he was not, and
his real brother
was one Thim
The Deputy Commissioner
however directed the am
to give a notice to th

et that he should keep
pair of good post bullock
d that he should build
house in the village
ccordingly a notice to
e above effect was served
30-3-91 and, in obedience
it, he has kept bullocks
build a house, however
is quite willing and
t is to be built on
overnment land, he
ested the Amildar
show him the spot
reon to build it.
But the Amildar did
show the site and it
not known whether
has forwarded any
ort to the Deputy
missioner. However
ssued to the Amildar
er No 433 dated 30-7-91,
nding that petitioner
s been dismissed. The
titioner then made
3 applications to
Amildar for copies
the order and his
ort and also to let
m know why he was
missed; but in vain.

4. He appealed to the Deputy Commissioner, who in his endorsement No 75 dated 18.8.91 stated "We learn that the patty was given to your brother you being found unfit; as this has been already decided we cannot interfere in the matter." Again on the 30.8.91 petitioner forwarded an application to the Deputy Commissioner stating that the above decission was based on a very superficial inquiry and requesting an investigation.

5. As nothing has been done Petitioner now appeals to this office on the following grounds.

I The said Dhoda Gowda is not his brother and therefore has no claim to the patty which is heridetory.

II He does not know why he is dismissed;

through in obedience to his endorsement No 182 dated 30.8.91 he went to the Amildar, he did not ~~give him~~ copies of the records.

III At any rate the petitioner is not dismissed for embezzlement, even if he is dismissed for any other cause his son who is literate and of 22 years of age right, under the Chief Commissioners' Circular No 5 and Section 881 of Revenue Karaisangraha, to get it.

IV His son Virbhadrappa is not at all taken notice of.

V The petitioner has ~~faithfully~~ obeyed every orders.

And, as he is quite willing to build a house in the village if a site ~~is~~ be shown to him, he prays for justice.

B. Venkatram

Copied C. G.

To The Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Bangalore.

SEP. 91

OF MYS

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith a petition dated 16/9/91 from [illegible] and to request that the matter may be enquired into and necessary action taken.

Yours faithfully,

15-9-91

Encl: 1 petition

No. 119 R

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 452 dated 4th Sept 1891 received at the Dewan's Office from Honble Govt

Shimoga Town

Hagga Taluk

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~, together with the plan & estimate to the Asst Commr, Kasaba Division, Hagga and a special Statement.

By Order,

Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE,
14/12/1891.

No 739
Secy
Govt of Straits

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Hassan District

Dated Camp Chenimere, 30th Jany 92.

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Sir

With reference to the Revenue Office
Memo of even No 119 of the 20th ultimo forwarding the Petition of Somanalli Gowda
Patel of Dudda Village for Submission
of Proceedings I have the honor to submit
the accompanying Vernacular file with
a Memo thereof.

The Petition received with the above
is also returned.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Asst Office Deputy Commissioner
Hassan Dt.

The following order
was to be issued —

—

Considering the
improper conduct
of the Petitioner in refusing
to give over charge of
the office as directed by
the Deputy, the Govt
decline to interfere
on his behalf. —

M.P
6/9/92

6/9

10

Memo.

The Secretary to the South Ingore Volunteer Association having represented that there is much difficulty in procuring good buckets in the Harbour should the Deputy Commissioner issued an order directing the Deputy Constable to make suitable arrangements for a good pair of buckets being always kept in readiness at Fuddle Hospital, and also to submit the name of the person directed to supply them with. The Deputy Constable reported in reply, that the Patel Ramachandra would supply them with.

appointed to act as the deputy
of Lieutenant Paul in addition
to the instructions given him.
The further stated that he
was utterly incompetent
to hold the office of Capt
and that the people of the
village have no regard
for him as he is very poor,
that he was not only in-
attentive to his duties
but also impertinent if
the further reported that
he wilfully absented
himself from Company
of 15 Jan. Sergeant was
accompanied by Mulatto Corporal
in charge of a large remit-
tance and failed to attend
to their wants. Upon these
grounds the Deputy Com-
missioner proposed the
removal of Commandant
Gonsalez, and the appoint-
ment of his brother-

Dodde Gowda in his stead.
Mr. Purnaiya was aware of his
misconduct and directed
that he might be given
another trial, if he would
build a house in the Paddy
Kolpad and reside therein
with a good pair of good
sized bullocks, within three
months from the date of
the order. The Patel failed
to comply with the orders
of the Deputy Commissioner.
The Deputy Tahsildar again
reported about him merely
in the same terms as before.
Mr. Gabalagar the officer
then in Charge of the District
directed the dismissal of
Honnavalli Gowda and
the Enterprisement of Dodde
Gowda in his place. The
Deputy Tahsildar accordingly
issued orders to give one

Charge to Dodia Gamda but he refused to do so. On August last, when I visited at Dudda the Patel reported to me the matter. I told him that he should hand over the charge and then represent his grievances, if any. But he disregarded my advice also. The Deputy Collector reported the matter to me and I directed that a formal notice requiring him to hand over the charge in writing in prescribed date, should be issued. A formal notice was thereupon issued to him but he (the Patel) refused to receive it. Such conduct on the part of the Patel was highly objectionable and should not be tolerated for a moment. I therefore, directed criminal proceedings being taken against

Received 1891

him for wilful disobedience of order. The Natal was accordingly prosecuted convicted and sentenced to pay a fine of Rs 50/-

Offg. Deputy Commissioner
Kasaragod District
dtd

Submitted -

The Appellant contends -

(1) that Dodde Jaunda is not his brother -

But from the genealogical tree produced by Dodde Jaunda it appears that the 3d: son of Ajje Jaunda - viz Bharpin

The genealogical tree requires to be verified under the circumstances.

Ajje Jaunda.

Honna-valli Jaunda (Appt)	Linjamma age 32	Dodde Jaunda (Respt) age 25	Nanja age 20	Nanja age 14.

The W. Building Act 1952 dated 9th March 91 states that Donald Saunders is a divided brother of the Appellant.

(2) That he was not given copy of the report made by the Arbitrator against him, though one applied for.

It is not clear when the appellant applied for a copy of the report & why it was not granted.

(3) That his son (age 22) may be appointed.

The Prop. do not bear any reference to the son.

(4) That his own brother Longmans claim has not been taken notice of.

(5) That he did not build the house as required because a site was not shown him

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892 P. 53

From

The Commissioner
Nagar Division

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore

Dated 31st January 1892
Despatched 1st February 1892
Received

Enclosures No. 1 + Verifile.

General No. 739
Mysore

I submit the Vernacular papers connected with the petition of Hanumanalli Gauda Patel of Dudda, with a memo thereof

Pen Despatched
Mysore 2/2/92

To the same of No. 1192 dated 31/1/92

Submitted
to Council.

Whereas a docket No. 758 dated 4th Feby. 1892 has been received requiring the return of the entire records in Civil case No 139 of 1891 on the file of the Principal Assistant Commissioner, Hassan. Prop ordered the returned at once vide order on the docket referred above 8/2/92

Record returned with Yours No. 867 of 28th March 1892.

Submitted to the Council with further notes.

28/3

33
4-2-92

Whether he would
a site to be shown
as alleged by Appe
& if so, why it
not shewn -
Resp: do not

Submitted
Council

28/7/82

forwarded by the Deputy Commr,
to Dt, with the usual progress received with this
D 30/1/92.

By order

Jm M
11/9
Public Secy

Draft for approval C

No.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT

Endorsement granted to Honnavalli Gowda, Patel of Dudda village, Hassan Taluk, in reply to his Petition Registered No. 1420 dated 14/9/91.

Petitioner is informed that Considering his improper Conduct in refusing to give over charge the office as directed by the Dy: Comm Govt decline to interfere on his behalf

2. The enclosure are herewith returned

12/9/92

Draft

"By Order,"

Chief Secretary.

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—5-7-92—1000.